

Corrigendum 02 - (Pre Bid Queries)
Ref. RFP F.No. : 5/1/2013-LTSS-Vol 3 dated 18/09/2015
Selection of System Integrator for Implementation of "Integrated e-District, e-Forms on State Portal and SSDG"
In Administration of the Union Territory of Lakshadweep, India

S.No. & Page Number(s)	RFP Document Reference(s) (Volume, Section & Page Number(s))	Content of requiring Clarification(s)	Points of Clarification	Amendments
1	Volume - I Page - 22 Section - 6.1 S.Number - 9	Company experience in implementation of integrated turnkey projects around application development as a System Integrator in India. One 10 Crores Project OR Two 5 Crores Projects OR Three 2 Crores Projects [Specifically each project should have components of Hardware, Networking, Helpdesk and Application related training necessarily for this project] Such Assignments of should have gone live in the past 3 years (FY14-15, FY13-14 and FY12-13)	Company experience in implementation of integrated turnkey projects around application development as a System Integrator in India. One 10 Crores Project OR Two 5 Crores Projects OR Three 2 Crores Projects [Specifically each project should have components of Hardware, Networking, Helpdesk and Application related training necessarily for this project] Such Assignments should have gone live in the past 3 years as on RFP Release date - 18/09/2015	Volume I, Page - 22, Section - 6.1, S.Number - 9 is to be read as: Company experience in implementation of integrated turnkey projects around application development as a System Integrator in India One 10 Crores Project OR Two 5 Crores Projects OR Three 2 Crores Projects [Specifically each project should have components of Hardware, Networking, Helpdesk and Application related training necessarily for this project] Such Assignments of should have gone live in the past 3 years (FY14-15, FY13-14 and FY12-13) and as on RFP Release date (18/09/2015)
2	Volume - I Page - 23 6.2 Technical Qualification Criteria THREE client references for implementation of an integrated project around a) network solution b) software development c) Hardware commissioning and d) O&M phase for maintaining all of the above	Weighted average to be used for the 3 case studies. For each project the marks would be based on the following: > INR 30 Crores = 4 points; > INR 20 Crores but less than INR 30 Crores=3 points; > INR 10 Crores but less than INR 20 Crores=2 points; > INR 2 Crores but less than INR 10 Crores =1 point; else 0	As per model RFP, Lakshadweep belong to Category A & since the Lakshadweep forms a only one district with 19 sub divisions. We would request you to consider the points sections as follows as the past experience described in system is per the model RFP published on Dier's website. > INR 10 Crores = 5 points; > INR 8 crores but less than INR 10 crores=4 points; > INR 6 crores but less than INR 8 crores=3 points; > INR 4 crores, but less than INR 6 crores=2 points; > INR 2 crores but less than INR 4 crores =1 point; else 0	Volume - I, Page - 23, Section 6.2 Technical Qualification Criteria, Table 1. Past Experience of the responding firm, the point system for "System Integration projects" is to be read as: Weighted average to be used for the 3 case studies. For each project the marks would be based on the following > INR 15 crores =4 points; > INR 10 crores but less than INR 15 crores=3 points; > INR 5 crores but less than INR 10 crores crores=2 points; > INR 2 crores but less than INR 5 crores =1 point; else 0

Dr. PRANJAL J HAZARIKA DAMNIS
 21.10.15
 Head SCMT
 Lakshadweep
 Project Manager, SAMU
 S/A M

<p>Volume - I Page - 23 Serial no 1b</p>	<p>In case of projects done for the following locations : a) covers 22 districts - 8 points b) covers 14 districts - 5 points c) covers 7 districts - 3 points else; 0</p>	<p>Since the UT of Lakshadweep falls under category A and very small area, the criteria mentioned is too high and We request you to modify the clause as below In case of projects done for the following locations a) covers 22 districts/locations - 8 points b) covers 14 districts/locations - 5 points c) covers 7 districts/locations - 3 points else; 0</p>	<p>Volume - I, Page - 23, Section 6.2 Technical Qualification Criteria, Table 1: Past Experience of the responding firm, the point system for Maintenance Services and Helpdesk in the State/UT is to be read as: In case of projects done for the following locations : a) covers 12 districts - 8 points b) covers 8 districts - 5 points c) covers 4 districts - 3 points else; 0</p>
<p>Volume - II Page - 116 Table 1. Minimum Proposed BOM to be supplied by the bidder</p>	<p>The following would be provisioned through SDC at no additional cost: SAN Storage(Page No 68)</p>	<p>In Table 1 page no 116, it is mentioned that SI has to provide minimum proposed BOM to be supplied by bidder , but in page no 68, it is mentioned that "following will be provided by SDC at no additional cost". Please clarify what has to be considered in the BOM which the SI has to supply.</p>	<p>The point, "The following would be provisioned through SDC at no additional cost: - Rack Space - UPS, and Power - IP, and Firewall - Load Balancer - SAN Storage - Tape Library " at page 68 in RFP Volume II is DELETED</p>
<p>Volume - II Page - 120 Table 2. Minimum Technical Specification b) Technical Specification for Web and Application Server – Quantity as per BOM</p>	<p>Enterprise Class 64 bit Server OS/Support (Qty - 10 Nos)</p>	<p>Mismatching of OS Grant with proposed hardware</p>	<p>Rend quantity of "Enterprise Class 64 bit Server OS/Support" as '11' instead of '10' in RFP Volume II at Page 116, Table 1. Minimum Proposed BOM to be supplied by the bidder.</p>
<p>Volume - II Page - 124 Table 2. Minimum Technical Specification d) Technical Specification for Staging and Reporting Server</p>	<p>All items are to be Rack Mounted in Industry</p>	<p>All items are to be in Blade architecture</p>	<p>Volume - II, Page - 120, Table 2. Minimum Technical Specification, b) Technical Specification for Web and Application Server – Quantity as per BOM and Volume -II, Page - 124, Table 2. Minimum Technical Specification, d) Technical Specification for Staging and Reporting Server, the specifications for item number 2d mentioned as 'All items are to be Rack Mounted in Industry' is to be read as: 'All items are to be in Blade architecture'</p>

DR. PIANJAL J HAZARIKA DANICS

Debjyoti

[Signature]

[Signature]

[Signature]

[Signature]

<p>7</p> <p>Volume - II Page - 120, 122, 124</p>	<p>5 years On-Site Comprehensive warranty and Maintenance Support</p>	<p>It is mentioned in Volume II, Page No.100 as "The SI will be responsible for smooth Operations and Maintenance Services for the period covering onsite support for 1 year of warranty and followed by 3 years of AMC from the date of commissioning the IT Infrastructure" and in Page no.101 "The warranty is mentioned as 3 Years from the date of Go live". Hence kindly change it as 1 year warranty and followed by 3 years of AMC from date of Go-live.</p>	<p>Read as per Volume - II, Page 101, Section 6.4.2. Warranty Support</p>
--	---	--	---

Dr. PRANJAL J HAZARKA DINES

[Signature]

[Signature]

[Signature]

[Signature]